arXiv:1604.06940v2 [math.FA] 10 Jun 2016

BENEDICKS' THEOREM FOR THE WEYL TRANSFORM

M. K. VEMURI

Abstract. If the set of points where a function is nonzero is of finite measure, and its Weyl transform is a finite rank operator, then the function is identically zero.

1. Introduction

That a nonzero function and its Fourier transform cannot both be sharply localized is known as the *Uncertainty Principle* in Harmonic Analysis. There are many different precise formulations of this principle, depending on the way in which localization is quantified. One such is Benedicks' theorem [1]: if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and the sets $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ and $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R} \mid \hat{f}(\xi) \neq 0\}$ both have finite Lebesgue measure, then $f \equiv 0$. In this paper, we prove an analogue of Benedicks' theorem for the Weyl transform. The Weyl transform is the essence of the group Fourier transform on the Heisenberg group, and also plays a role in the theory of pseudo-differential operators.

Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the *Weyl transform* of f is the operator $W(f) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$(W(f)\varphi)(t) = \iint f(x,y)e^{\pi i(xy+2yt)}\varphi(t+x)\,dxdy.$$

Our analogue of Benedicks' theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *If the set $\{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid f(w) \neq 0\}$ has finite Lebesgue measure and $W(f)$ is a finite rank operator, then $f \equiv 0$.*

Recall that the Heisenberg group G is the set of triples

$$\{(x,y,z) \mid x,y \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| = 1\}$$

with multiplication defined by

$$(x,y,z)(x',y',z') = \left(x+x', y+y', zz'e^{\pi i(xy'-yx')}\right).$$

According to the *Stone-von Neumann Theorem*, there is a unique irreducible unitary representation ρ of G such that

$$\rho(0,0,z) = zI.$$

The standard realization of this representation is on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ by the action

$$(\rho(x,y,z)\varphi)(t) = ze^{\pi i(xy+2yt)}\varphi(t+x).$$

Thus, the Weyl transform may be expressed as

$$W(f) = \iint f(x,y)\rho(x,y,1)\,dxdy, \qquad f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

If X is a trace class operator on $\mathcal{H}$, the modified Fourier-Wigner transform of X is the function $\alpha(X) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\alpha(X)(x,y) = \mathrm{tr}(X\rho(x,y,1)^*).$$

It is well known (see e.g. [2]) that if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $W(f)$ is a trace class operator then $\alpha(W(f)) = f$, and that if X is a trace class operator on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\alpha(X) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ then $W(\alpha(X)) = X$. Thus we may reformulate Theorem 1.1 as

Theorem 1.2. *If X is a finite rank operator on $\mathcal{H}$ and the set $\{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \alpha(X)(w) \neq 0\}$ has finite measure, then $X = 0$.*

Theorem 1.1 was proved in [5] with the hypothesis that f is compactly supported. However, as pointed out by Benedicks in [1], this is a very strong hypothesis, because the support of f is the *closure* of the set $\{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid f(w) \neq 0\}$, and there are open sets of arbitrarily small measure whose closure is all of $\mathbb{R}^2$. The clever argument used in [5] doesn't seem to be susceptible to generalization. Our argument is closer in spirit to Benedicks' original argument, but depends on a deep result of Linnel [3].

2. The double induced realization

Let $\pi : G \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the projection $\pi(x,y,z) = (x,y)$. Then π is a homomorphism and $\ker(\pi) = Z(G)$, the center of G. Let $U(1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$. For $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let $s(x,y) = (x,y,1)$. Then s is a section of π, i.e. $\pi \circ s = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, and

$$s(x,y)s(x',y') = (x+x', y+y', e^{\pi i(xy'-yx')}) = \psi((x,y),(x',y'))s(x+x', y+y'),$$

where $\psi((x,y),(x',y')) \in Z(G)$ is defined by

$$\psi((x,y),(x',y')) = (0,0,e^{\pi i(xy'-yx')})$$

Define $e : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to U(1)$ by

$$e((x,y),(x',y')) = e^{2\pi i(xy'-yx')}.$$

Note that if $g, g' \in G$ then

$$gg'g^{-1}g'^{-1} = (0,0,e(\pi(g),\pi(g')).$$

The pairing e is an alternating bicharacter, and it is perfect in the sense that it establishes Pontryagin duality between $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^2}$. Let $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a lattice (necessarily cocompact) which is isotropic, i.e. for all $n, n' \in N$, we have $e(n,n') = 1$. Note that $N \subseteq M \subseteq N^\perp$ where $N^\perp = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid e(n,w) = 1 \quad \forall n \in N\}$ and M is a maximal isotropic subgroup. Moreover, $[N^\perp : M] = [M : N] = \mathrm{area}(\mathbb{R}^2/N) = a < \infty$. The bicharacter e also establishes Pontryagin dualities $N^\perp \cong \widehat{\mathbb{R}^2/N}$, $N \cong \widehat{\mathbb{R}^2/N^\perp}$, and $M^\perp \cong \widehat{\mathbb{R}^2/M}$.

There exists a character $\zeta : \pi^{-1}(M) \to U(1)$ such that $\zeta(0,0,z) = z$ (see [4, p3]). Let $\tau = \mathrm{Ind}_{\pi^{-1}(M)}^{\pi^{-1}(N^\perp)}\zeta$. Then τ is an a-dimensional unitary representation of $\pi^{-1}(N^\perp)$, and by the Stone-von Neumann-Mackey theorem [4, Theorem 1.2], $\mathrm{Ind}_{\pi^{-1}(N^\perp)}^{G}\tau \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\pi^{-1}(M)}^{G}\zeta \cong \rho$, so τ is irreducible. Note that if $n \in N$, then $\tau(n) = \zeta(n)I$. Let $S \subseteq N^\perp$ be a fundamental domain for the action of N on $N^\perp$, i.e. a set of coset representatives. Then S has a^2 elements. Let $\mathcal{H}_\tau$ be the Hilbert space on which τ acts.

Lemma 2.1. *For all $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$, we have*

$$\sum_{n\in S} \tau(s(n))T\tau(s(n))^{-1} = a\,\mathrm{tr}(T)I.$$

Proof. Let

$$T' = \sum_{n\in S} \tau(s(n))T\tau(s(n))^{-1}.$$

Let $g \in \pi^{-1}(N^\perp)$ and $n \in S$, then $g = \eta s(\pi(g))$ for some $\eta \in Z(G)$, and there exist unique elements $n' \in S$ and $m \in N$ such that $\pi(g) + n = m + n'$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(g)\tau(s(n)) &= \tau(gs(n)) \\
&= \tau(\eta s(\pi(g))s(n)) \\
&= \tau(\eta\psi(\pi(g),n)s(\pi(g)+n)) \\
&= \tau(\eta\psi(\pi(g),n)s(m+n')) \\
&= \tau(\eta\psi(\pi(g),n)\psi(m,n')^{-1}s(m)s(n')) \\
&= \zeta(\eta\psi(\pi(g),n)\psi(m,n')^{-1}s(m))\tau(s(n')) \\
\therefore \tau(g)\tau(s(n))T\tau(s(n))^{-1}\tau(g)^{-1} &= \tau(s(n'))T\tau(s(n'))^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

For $g \in \pi^{-1}(N^\perp)$ and $n \in S$, the assignment $(g,n) \mapsto n'$, where $\pi(g) + n = m + n'$ with $n' \in S$ and $m \in N$ defines a right-action of $\pi^{-1}(N^\perp)$ on S; in particular, for a fixed g the map $n \mapsto n'$ is a bijection of S. It follows that $\tau(g)T'\tau(g) = T'$ for each $g \in \pi^{-1}(N^\perp)$. It follows from Schur's lemma that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $T' = \lambda I$. The result follows by taking traces of both sides. □

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$ denote the set of all linear operators on $\mathcal{H}_\tau$. If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$, we put $\langle A, B\rangle = \mathrm{tr}(AB^*)$, and $\|A\|^2 = \langle A, A\rangle$. Then $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$ becomes a Hilbert space.

Lemma 2.2. *For all $n' \in N^\perp$, we have*

$$\mathrm{tr}(\tau(s(n')) = \begin{cases} \zeta(s(n'))a & \text{if } n' \in N, \\ 0 & \text{if } n' \notin N. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider $\tilde{e} : N^\perp/N \times N^\perp/N \to U(1)$ defined by $\tilde{e}(n+N, n'+N) = e(n,n')$. If $n' \notin N$, then $\tilde{e}(\cdot, n'+N)$ is a non-trivial character of the finite group $N^\perp/N$, so

$$\sum_{n\in S} e(n,n') = \sum_{n\in S} \tilde{e}(n+N, n'+N) \sum_{\overline{n}\in N^\perp/N} \tilde{e}(\overline{n}, n'+N) = 0.$$

If $n' \in N$, then $e(n,n') = 1$ for all $n \in N^\perp$, so

$$\sum_{n\in S} e(n,n') = |S| = a^2.$$

Let $n' \in N^\perp$ and $n \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(s(n))\tau(s(n'))\tau(s(n))^{-1} &= \tau(s(n)s(n')s(n)^{-1}s(n')^{-1}s(n')) \\
&= \tau((0,0,e(n,n'))s(n')) \\
&= e(n,n')\tau(s(n')) \\
\therefore \sum_{n\in S} \tau(s(n))\tau(s(n'))\tau(s(n))^{-1} &= \begin{cases} a^2\zeta(s(n'))I & \text{if } n' \in N, \\ 0 & \text{if } n' \notin N. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows from Lemma 2.1 □

Corollary 2.3. *For $n, n' \in N^\perp$,*

$$\langle \tau(s(n)), \tau(s(n')) \rangle = \begin{cases} a\zeta(\psi(n,-n')s(n-n')) & \text{if } n-n' \in N, \\ 0 & \text{if } n-n' \notin N. \end{cases}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tau(s(n)), \tau(s(n')) \rangle &= \mathrm{tr}(\tau(s(n))\tau(s(n'))^*) \\
&= \mathrm{tr}(\tau(s(n)s(n')^{-1})) \\
&= \mathrm{tr}(\tau(s(n)s(-n'))) \\
&= \mathrm{tr}(\tau(\psi(n,-n')s(n-n'))) \\
&= \zeta(\psi(n,-n'))\mathrm{tr}(\tau(s(n-n'))) \\
&= \begin{cases} a\zeta(\psi(n,-n')s(n-n')) & \text{if } n-n' \in N, \\ 0 & \text{if } n-n' \notin N. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.4. *The set $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}\tau(s(n)) \mid n \in S\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$.*

Proof. Let $n, n' \in S$. Note that $n - n' \in N$ iff $n = n'$. Then

$$\langle \tau(s(n)), \tau(s(n')) \rangle = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } n = n', \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq n', \end{cases}$$

so the set is orthonormal. Since the cardinality of the set equals the dimension of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$, it must be a basis. □

Let $\Omega_{N^\perp} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a (measurable) fundamental domain for the action of $N^\perp$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Note that $s(\Omega_{N^\perp})$ is a fundamental domain for the action of $\pi^{-1}(N^\perp)$ on G.

The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_N$ for $\rho_N = \mathrm{Ind}_{\pi^{-1}(N^\perp)}^G \tau$ consists of functions $\varphi : G \to \mathcal{H}_\tau$ such that $\varphi(hg) = \tau(h)\varphi(g)$ for $h \in \pi^{-1}(N^\perp)$ and almost every $g \in G$ with the condition that

$$\|\varphi\|^2 = \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \|\varphi(s(w))\|^2 \, dw < \infty,$$

and ρ_N acts by

$$(\rho_N(g)\varphi)(g') = \varphi(g'g).$$

Let $L^0(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$ consist of all measurable functions $F : G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$ such that $F(hg) = \tau(h)F(g)\tau(h)^{-1}$ for all $h \in \pi^{-1}(N^\perp)$ and almost every $g \in G$. For $p \in [1, \infty)$, let $L^p(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$ consist of those $F \in L^0(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$ for which

$$\|F\|_p^p = \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \|F(s(w))\|^p \, dw < \infty.$$

Let $L^\infty(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$ consist of those $F \in L^0(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$ for which

$$\|F\|_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{g \in G} \|F(g)\| < \infty.$$

Then $L^\infty(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)) \subseteq L^2(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)) \subseteq L^1(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$. Moreover, $L^2(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle F, F' \rangle = \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \langle F(s(w)), F'(s(w)) \rangle \, dw$$

For $n \in N^\perp$, define $\Xi_n : G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$ by

$$\Xi_n(g') = e(\pi(g'), n)\tau(s(n)).$$

Then $\Xi_n \in L^\infty(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$.

Proposition 2.5. *For $n \in N^\perp$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_N$ and $g' \in G$, $(\rho_N(s(n))\varphi)(g') = \Xi_n(g')\varphi(g')$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\rho_N(s(n))\varphi)(g') &= \varphi(g's(n)) \\
&= \varphi(g's(n)g'^{-1}g') \\
&= \tau(g's(n)g'^{-1})\varphi(g') \\
&= \tau(g's(n)g'^{-1}s(n)^{-1}s(n))\varphi(g') \\
&= e(\pi(g'), n)\tau(s(n))\varphi(g') \\
&= \Xi_n(g')\varphi(g').
\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 2.6. If $F \in L^1(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$, and $n \in N^\perp$, we define the n-th *Fourier-Wigner coefficient* of F by

$$(\alpha(F))(n) = \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \langle F(s(w)), \Xi_n(s(w))\rangle \, dw.$$

Proposition 2.7. *If $F \in L^1(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$ and $(\alpha(F))(n) = 0$ for all $n \in N^\perp$, then $F = 0$.*

Proof. Observe that if $m \in N$ and $n' \in S$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(s(m+n')) &= \tau(\psi(m,n')^{-1}s(m)s(n')) \\ &= \zeta(\psi(m,n')^{-1}s(m))s(n').\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $m \in N$ and $n' \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= (\alpha(F))(m+n') \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \langle F(s(w)), \Xi_{m+n'}(s(w))\rangle \, dw \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \langle F(s(w)), \tau(s(m+n'))\rangle \overline{e(w, m+n')} \, dw \\ &= \overline{\zeta(\psi(m,n')^{-1}s(m))} \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \langle F(s(w)), \tau(s(n'))\rangle \overline{e(w,n')}\, \overline{e(w,m)} \, dw.\end{aligned}$$

Since the linear span of the set $\{e(\cdot, m) \mid m \in N\}$ is weakly dense in $L^\infty(\Omega_{N^\perp})$, it follows that for all $n' \in S$,

$$\langle F(s(w)), \tau(s(n'))\rangle \overline{e(w,n')} = 0$$

for almost all $w \in \Omega_{N^\perp}$. Therefore, for all $n' \in S$,

$$\langle F(s(w)), \tau(s(n'))\rangle = 0$$

for almost all $w \in \Omega_{N^\perp}$. Therefore, by Corollary 2.4

$$F(s(w)) = 0$$

for almost all $w \in \Omega_{N^\perp}$. □

Proposition 2.8. *The set $\{\Xi_n\}_{n \in N^\perp}$ is a complete orthonormal set in $L^2(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Xi_n, \Xi_{n'} \rangle &= \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \langle \Xi_n(s(w)), \Xi_{n'}(s(w)) \rangle \, dw \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} e(w, n-n') \, dw \langle \tau(s(n), \tau(s(n')) \rangle \\
&= \begin{cases} a\zeta(\psi(n,-n')s(n-n')) \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} e(w,n-n')\,dw & \text{if } n-n' \in N, \\ 0 & \text{if } n-n' \notin N \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = n', \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq n' \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Completeness follows from Proposition 2.7. □

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_N)$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}_N$. Suppose $Y = \varphi \otimes \overline{\psi} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_N)$ is a rank-one operator. Define $F_Y : G \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$ by $F_Y(g) = \varphi(g) \otimes \overline{\psi(g)}$. Then F_Y is measurable, and for $h \in \pi^{-1}(N^\perp)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_Y(hg) &= \varphi(hg) \otimes \overline{\psi(hg)} \\
&= \tau(h)\varphi(g) \otimes \overline{\tau(h)\psi(g)} \\
&= \tau(h)(\varphi(g) \otimes \overline{\psi(g)})\tau(h)^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

so $F_Y \in L^0(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F_Y\|_1 &= \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} (\mathrm{tr}((\varphi(s(w)) \otimes \overline{\psi(s(w))})(\varphi(s(w)) \otimes \overline{\psi(s(w))})^*))^{1/2} \, dw \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} (\mathrm{tr}((\varphi(s(w)) \otimes \overline{\psi(s(w))})(\psi(s(w)) \otimes \overline{\varphi(s(w))})))^{1/2} \, dw \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \|\psi(s(w))\| \, (\mathrm{tr}(\varphi(s(w)) \otimes \overline{\varphi(s(w))}))^{1/2} \, dw \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \|\psi(s(w))\| \, \|\varphi(s(w))\| \, dw \\
&\leq \|\psi\| \, \|\varphi\| < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

so $F_Y \in L^1(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$. If $Y = \sum \varphi_j \otimes \overline{\psi_j} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_N)$ is a finite rank operator, then we define $F_Y = \sum F_{\varphi_j \otimes \overline{\psi_j}}$, and $F_Y \in L^1(G//\pi^{-1}(N^\perp), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau))$. The following obvious proposition will play a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in section 3.

Proposition 2.9. $\mathrm{rank}(F_Y(g)) \leq \mathrm{rank}(Y)$ *for almost all* $g \in G$.

If $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, put $X_N = \mathcal{Z} X \mathcal{Z}^{-1}$, where $\mathcal{Z} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}_N$ is a unitary intertwiner between ρ and ρ_N. Since $\mathcal{Z}$ is unique up to a unitary constant, X_N is determined uniquely by X.

Also $X_N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_N)$. The operator theoretic properties of X are inherited by X_N, e.g. X_N is of trace class iff X is of trace class, X_N is injective iff X is injective, and X_N is of finite rank iff X is of finite rank, and moreover, $\operatorname{rank}(X_N) = \operatorname{rank}(X)$.

Observe that if X is a trace class operator on $\mathcal{H}$, then

$$\operatorname{tr}(X_N \rho_N(s(w))^*) = \alpha(X)(w).$$

Theorem 2.10. *If X is a finite rank operator on $\mathcal{H}$, and $n \in N^\perp$, then $\alpha(F_{X_N})(n) = \alpha(X)(n)$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove this for a rank one operator X. In this case $X_N = \varphi \otimes \overline{\psi}$ for some $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}_N$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(X)(n) &= \operatorname{tr}(X_N \rho_N(s(n))^*) \\
&= \operatorname{tr}((\varphi \otimes \overline{\psi})\rho_N(s(n))^*) \\
&= \operatorname{tr}(\varphi \otimes \overline{\rho_N(s(n))\psi}) \\
&= \langle \varphi, \rho_N(s(n))\psi \rangle \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \langle \varphi(s(w)), (\rho_N(s(n))\psi)(s(w)) \rangle \, dw \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \langle \varphi(s(w)), \Xi_n(s(w))\psi(s(w)) \rangle \, dw \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(s(w)) \otimes \overline{(\Xi_n(s(w))\psi(s(w)))}) \, dw \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \operatorname{tr}((\varphi(s(w)) \otimes \overline{\psi(s(w))})\Xi_n(s(w))^*) \, dw \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{N^\perp}} \operatorname{tr}(F_{X_N}(s(w))\Xi_n(s(w))^*) \, dw \\
&= \alpha(F_{X_N})(n).
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. The Benedicks argument

We will now prove Theorem 1.2. Assume X is a finite rank operator on $\mathcal{H}$. Let $B = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \alpha(X)(w) \neq 0\}$ and assume that B has finite measure. Choose an isotropic lattice $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ such that a=area$(\mathbb{R}^2/N) > \operatorname{rank}(X)$. Then $N_v = (B - v) \cap N^\perp$ is a finite set for almost every $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$. For such v, let $X^v = X\rho(s(v))^*$. By Proposition 2.9,

$\operatorname{rank}(F_{X_N^v}(g')) \leq \operatorname{rank}(X_N^v) = \operatorname{rank}(X^v) = \operatorname{rank}(X) < a$ for almost every $g' \in G$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(X^v)(w) &= \operatorname{tr}(X^v \rho(s(w))^*) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}(X\rho(s(v))^*\rho(s(w))^*) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}(X\rho(s(w)s(v))^*) \\ &= \overline{\psi(w,v)}\operatorname{tr}(X\rho(s(w+v))^*) \\ &= \overline{\psi(w,v)}\alpha(X)(w+v),\end{aligned}$$

$\alpha(X^v)(w) = 0$ if $w \notin B - v$, and so by Theorem 2.10, $\alpha(F_{X_N^v})(n) = \alpha(X^v)(n) = 0$ if $n \in N \setminus N_v$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 2.8,

$$F_{X_N^v} = \sum_{n \in N_v} \alpha(X^v)(n)\Xi_n.$$

According to [3, Theorem 1.2], $\sum_{n\in N_v} \alpha(X^v)(n)\rho(s(n))$ is injective, unless it is zero. Therefore

$$\sum_{n \in N_v} \alpha(X^v)(n)\rho_N(s(n))$$

is injective, unless it is zero. However, by Proposition 2.5, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_N$ and $g' \in G$,

$$F_{X_N^v}(g')\varphi(g') = \sum_{n\in N_v} \alpha(X^v)(n)\Xi_n(g')\varphi(g') = \sum_{n\in N_v} \alpha(X^v)(n)(\rho_N(s(n))\varphi)(g').$$

Therefore $F_{X_N^v}(g')$ has rank a, for almost every $g' \in G$, unless it is identically zero. So in fact $F_{X_N^v} \equiv 0$, and so $\alpha(X^v)(n) = 0$ for all $n \in N$. Therefore $\alpha(X)(n+v) = 0$ for all $n \in N$ and almost every $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Therefore $\alpha(X) = 0$ almost everywhere, and so $X = 0$.

References

1. Michael Benedicks, *On Fourier transforms of functions supported on sets of finite Lebesgue measure*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **106** (1985), no. 1, 180–183.
2. Gerald B. Folland, *Harmonic analysis in phase space*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 122, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
3. Peter A. Linnell, *von Neumann algebras and linear independence of translates*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 11, 3269–3277.
4. David Mumford, Madhav Nori, and Peter Norman, *Tata Lectures on Theta III*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1991.
5. E. K. Narayanan and P. K. Ratnakumar, *Benedicks' theorem for the Heisenberg group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 6, 2135–2140.

Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506